Monitoring Appraisal Performance

Background

Nevada assessors estimate the taxable value of properties based on the statutory requirements of NRS 361.227. The viability of Nevada's property tax depends largely on the accuracy of those estimates, because they are the legal basis for the levy of property taxes. Over the last four years, the Tax Commission, the State Board of Equalization, the Department of Taxation, taxpayers and assessors have all expressed concern about the accuracy and uniformity of the appraisals. For instance, when the Lake Tahoe Special Study was presented to and reviewed by the Tax Commission, the Commissioners remarked that, at a minimum, appraisal performance should be monitored; "not just whether each county is legal within what that county is doing specifically, but that there is some degree of uniformity as it's appropriate among the counties." The Commission directed the Department "to do more monitoring for consistency amongst the various appraisers of the state as a part of their ratio factor study."²

One of the concerns expressed at the meeting was, how could the Department find equalization problems at Incline Village and at the same time give Washoe County a "pass" in the ratio study. Part of the answer lies in the ratio study design. The ratio study currently designed is based on the requirements of NRS 361.333, in which the Department is required to compare the median ratio, overall ratio and coefficient of dispersion (COD) for the total property in each of the 17 counties and for each major class of property within each county. The classes of property are identified as improvement values for the reappraisal area, land values for the reappraisal area, and total property values of vacant land, single-family residential property, multi-residential property, commercial, industrial, and rural property in the reappraisal area.

Although the statute requires the statistical analysis for the major classes of property only in the reappraisal area, the Department requested and received approval from the Tax Commission in October, 2003 to expand the ratio study. The problem the Department had identified was that not all types of property were represented in a reappraisal area. In addition, because of the 5 year rotation system used by county assessors for reappraising and the 3 year rotation system used by the Department for the ratio study, it was possible that any given reappraisal area would only be examined once every 15 years. In order to get a more representative study and to ensure all areas were examined during the 3 year rotation, the ratio study was expanded so that a sample could be drawn from all areas of the subject county and for each property type. The minimum sample size for statistical analysis is 6 of each type of property in each reappraisal and non-reappraisal area. A larger sample of each property type is taken if available and as resources of the Department allow. A typical sample size taken by the Department annually is 1,000 to 1,500 properties across 5 or 6 counties.³

As a result of the ratio study requirements, the Department does not stratify property into geographical areas smaller than reappraisal areas. The statutory emphasis is on "types" of

The 2006-07 ratio study had 1,077 observations; the 2005-06 ratio study had 1,459 observations.

¹ Commissioner Marvel commenting on alternative actions to take with regard to the results of the Lake Tahoe Special Study, NTC meeting 3-13-06, p. 189-190.

Motion adopted by the Commission, NTC meeting 3-13-06, p. 195, ll. 1-4.

property within each reappraisal area. Thus it is possible for a neighborhood or community or even a type of property within the reappraisal or non-reappraisal area to experience different market influences or varying appraisal practices that would not be captured by the ratio study. In the Lake Tahoe Special Study, the sample design was strictly on residential property in Washoe and Douglas Counties at Lake Tahoe, a distinct area from the general reappraisal areas within those counties. Property within the Lake Tahoe area showed a statistical result both in median and COD which varied from the statistical results of the reappraisal areas of which the Lake Tahoe area is a part. This is so because the median and COD of the observations within the much larger reappraisal area can overpower the results of observations of the smaller unit within it.

Other states may stratify the ratio study on more narrow geographical areas, oftentimes as narrow as by neighborhood. Such narrow stratification is possible because of the data available. However, most ratio studies are "sales ratio studies," in which fair market value is the standard. In those states, all sales are collected and may be stratified by any defined geographic area, then compared to the assessor's estimate of full cash value of the properties within that area. In Nevada, however, taxable value is the standard, in which the land is valued based on full cash value and the improvements on replacement cost new less depreciation defined by statute (RCNLD). Comparing the assessor's bifurcated land and improvement taxable value to market sales within the neighborhood would only show the effect of the valuation limitation of RCNLD. In order to discover whether the assessor is appropriately valuing property according to RCNLD of the improvements plus full cash value of the land, the Department must independently generate an RCNLD number for comparison. The sample size therefore, is limited to the number of observations the Department can generate through physical inspection and analysis.

County assessors also annually submit land factors for non-reappraisal areas pursuant to NRS 361.260(5). Land factors are developed by comparing the current assessed value of sold properties to the sale price. The ratio of the comparison must fall between 30 and 35 percent of the taxable value of the land. The Department reviews the assessors' land factors by examining the sales data, analyzing outliers, and calculating various statistics which would indicate how accurate the selected factor is.

Analysis

There are two approaches which might address the Tax Commission's directive to do more monitoring of appraisal performance. The first approach, and the one more easily implemented, is to enhance the work practices portion of the ratio study. Currently, NRS 361.333(1)(b)(2) requires the Department to make a determination about whether each county has adequate procedures to ensure that all property subject to taxation is being assessed in a correct and timely manner, and to note any deficiencies. The Department staff travel to the offices of county assessors to review the procedures used to discover, value, and assess all real and personal property within the jurisdiction of the county assessor. The Department reviews the resources of the office; reviews a sample of property files; and interviews assessors and their staffs. Departures from required or accepted appraisal practices are noted and recommendations for improvement are made.

At the March 13, 2006 meeting of the Nevada Tax Commission, the Department suggested that the current work practices portion of the ratio study be "beefed up." The State Board of Equalization has been studying the issue of equalization and also recently heard from the Department about how some other states supplement the ratio study with performance audits. Performance audits provide assurance or conclusions relating to audit objectives that provide an evaluation against objective criteria, such as specific requirements or measures, or statutorily required appraisal and assessment practices. Performance audits provide objective analysis so that those charged with governance and oversight may improve program performance, facilitate decision making by the State Board and/or the Tax Commission, and contribute to public accountability.

There are several appraisal techniques and tools available to assessors to determine the full cash value of land, and even some choices available in the application of the Marshall Swift costing manual when developing RCNLD. Performance audits would require additional work in first identifying the "best practice" for each procedure. The idea of the performance audits would focus on how tasks are carried out – on procedures, not specifically on results. In fact, this idea was introduced to the Assessor's Association at their September, 2006 conference, and the assessors indicated their willingness to work with the Department on establishing the kinds of information necessary to form an opinion about the "best practice."

Some development time would be necessary before the Department could carry out the performance audit analysis. Department appraisers would require training in performance audit techniques, "best practices" need to be identified, and priorities established for auditing performance in a variety of areas. The first priority undoubtedly would be best practices in land valuation, but other areas of significant interest include best practices in identifying remainder parcels for application of the property tax abatement, how exemptions are determined, how changes in the law were applied such as open-space treatment of golf courses, and common-area interests.

The second approach is to enhance the ratio study by generating additional observations in more narrowly defined geographic areas. The option would require direction as to how those geographic areas should be defined, the appropriate sample size, and other criteria for the study design. Additional regulations would be helpful in this regard. Enhancement of the ratio study is limited only by Department resources available to increase the sample size.

Action Plan

By the Tax Commission:

- 1.) Prioritize the topics for regulatory consideration. See Exhibit 1, List of proposed topics.
- 2.) Determine whether performance audits should be performed by the Department.
 - a.) If yes, consider the time-frame for completion and priority of audit subjects. Should the 3 year cycle be maintained for performance audits or should all counties be subject to

an annual performance audit, based on a limited number of "roll-out" issues? For instance, if the use of alternative land valuation techniques is the "roll-out" issue, should just the current cycle of counties be examined on the one issue, or should all counties?

- b.) Consider and approve recommendations for criteria to be used for the performance audit. (See discussion below).
- 3.) Determine whether performance audits should be performed prior to, concurrently with, or after the rulemaking process.
 - a.) Should performance audits be performed prior to rulemaking to provide a baseline analysis, or should rulemaking occur concurrently or prior to audits to enhance criteria for performance auditing?
- 4.) Adopt regulations regarding statistical criteria for the ratio study. Determine whether an expanded database is necessary and/or feasible.
- 5.) Under NRS 360.215(9) the Department is required to establish and maintain a manual of assessment policies and procedures. That manual was developed by the Department for the Assessors in 1993 and was further modified in subsequent years as property tax laws changed. However, in 1999 NRS 233B was amended to further clarify the definition of a "regulation." Under the new definition of a regulation the contents of the manual could no longer be transmitted to the Assessors as a directive or statement of general applicability which interprets law or policy. In other words the contents need to be updated and adopted as regulations. The manual would now need to be a compilation of regulations and policy directives of the Tax Commission. This process should be performed.

By the Department:

If performance auditing is approved:

- 1.) Train Department appraisers in all aspects of performance auditing.
- 2.) Simultaneously research and propose the criteria or "best practices" for procedures relating to areas prioritized by the Tax Commission. See Exhibit 2 for topics for which "best practices" would be determined. Criteria provide a context for evaluating evidence and understanding the findings, conclusions, and recommendations included in the report. The criteria should be:
 - a.) Objective: free from bias
 - b.) Measurable: permit reasonably consistent assessments, qualitative or quantitative, of subject matter.
 - c.) Complete: include relevant factors that could change a conclusion about the subject matter; and
 - d.) Relevant: related to the subject matter.

Possible criteria could include the purpose or goals prescribed by law or regulation; policies and procedures established by the county assessor; technically developed standards or norms; performance of similar entities; and best practices identified by the IAAO.

- 3.) Recommend level of significance for the work practice. "Significance" is defined as the relative importance of a matter within the context in which it is being considered, such as the relative magnitude, the nature and effect of the matter, and the needs and interests of intended report users.
- 4.) Determine what is sufficient, appropriate evidence and documentation to support findings, conclusions, and recommendations.
- 5.) Prepare audit plan; perform performance audit on designated counties.
- 6.) Determine reporting standards for performance audits, including descriptions of the objectives, scope, and methodology. Determine the elements of any findings, i.e:
- a.) Report the criteria, that is, the required or desired state of what is expected from the assessment program;
 - b.) Report the condition: what the auditors found regarding the actual situation.
- c.) Report the cause: evidence on the factor or factors responsible for the difference between the condition and the criteria.
 - d.) Report on the effect or the potential effect, preferably in quantifiable terms.
- e.) Report the findings including the nature and extent of the issues being reported and the extent of the work performed that resulted in the finding.
 - f.) Recommend actions to correct problems and improve operations.

If increased database for ratio study is directed:

- 1.) Design ratio study to encompass more narrow geographic areas pursuant to the priorities established by the Tax Commission.
- 2.) Plan /shift staff workload to accommodate additional fieldwork.

Exhibit 1 - Proposed Topics for Regulatory Consideration

- 1. Define land, both physically and legally. In simplest terms, the definition could mean real property exclusive of improvements on the land. This definition could appear as NAC 361.017.
- 2. Clarify the meaning of improvements and the difference between improvements on the land and improvements to land. NAC 361.188 defines improvements as improvements on the land ("Improvement" means all appurtenances erected upon or affixed to the land), so all references to improvements throughout NRS or NAC, including golf course improvements, could mean improvements on the land.
- 3. Clarify the difference between vacant and improved land. NAC 316.113 says that "Improved land" means land on which there is an improvement sufficient to allow the identification of or establish actual use. Consequently, one may identify the actual use of land lacking improvements on the land as vacant (land use codes 10-19). Interestingly, Nevada law defines vacant land as a form of improved land.
- 4. Clarify the meaning of "cost of replacement." found in NRS 361.227 1(b), which requires revision of NAC 361.128. For example, the cost of an improvement on the land equals its full economic cost, including without limitation the usual laundry list of costs without loopholes. It should also allow assessors to apply unusual condition multipliers if supported by verifiable market data and state explicitly that any modifications to or substitutions for Marshall Swift standard costs must appear on the tax roll as the cost of replacement. Consideration should be given to requiring assessors to submit cost update forms to the Department at least once a year.
- 5. Resolve the legal ambiguity over the cost date. NAC 361.128 specifies October 1 of the year preceding the closure of the roll. For example, if the 2007-08 roll closes January 1, 2007 the cost date should be October 1, 2006, not October 1, 2005 as the Department does it now. Moving the cost date to July 1 one year prior to the lien date, which coincides with the sales cutoff date, probably makes better sense, but may impose a time crunch on the assessors.
- 6. State explicitly that the principle of substitution applies to improvements on the land and that replacement cost thus determines the maximum full cash value for any improvement. This could appear as an addition to NAC 361.014
- 7. Clarify the definitions for the abstraction and allocation methods in NAC 361.107 and 361.109.

"Abstraction method" means a method of estimating the value of land by subtracting from the sales prices of improved parcels the full contributory value of all items attributable to the value of the improvements on the land, thus yielding estimates of the residual or remainder value of the improved land.

"Allocation method" means a method used to value land by estimating from sales of comparable improved properties a typical ratio of improved land to total value and applying that ratio to the improved property being analyzed to determine the value that the improved land contributes to the total value of the property.

- 8. Observe that NAC 361.118 3(c) specifies that if the subject property is improved land, the comparable properties must have a use that is consistent with that of the improved land. Strictly speaking, the assessor may not value improved land with vacant comparable sales. To get around this, the assessor could apply NAC 361.118 in reverse by adding the full cash value of improvements to land to estimate the value of the fully developed improved site. NAC 361.118 should really function as a two-way street that permits the assessor to value improved land with vacant sales or vacant land with improved sales by making market based full cash value adjustments to equalize the subject and comparables at the same stage of development.
- 9. Actually, NAC 361.118 as written merely attempts to value all improved land as vacant land, thereby making improvements to land intangible. When, contrary to NAC 361.118(c), an assessor uses vacant comparables to value improved sites, he must adjust the comparables upward for site development. In Nevada, as-if-vacant means selling price minus improvements on the land, not improved land valued as vacant land.
- 10. An exact definition of non-realty components with examples is needed to avoid confusion.
- 11. Modify the rules for tear-downs in NAC 361.118 2(b) by specifying a cutoff date (probably the sales cutoff date) for these things to occur. Also, the assessor should apply interim use adjustments to tear-downs that satisfy the time requirement and other conditions. This matches standard appraisal practice. Regulations for valuing interim use will be needed.
- 12. Clarify the rules for view adjustment. NAC 361.118 says that the county assessor shall make the view influence determination from any area on the parcel that is capable of development. Ordinarily, this includes any portion of the three dimensional envelope defined by the bundle of rights, like the roof of the house. This illustrates the need for a precise definition of land.
- 13. Clarify the term market conditions in NAC 361.118 or allow time adjustments specifically.
- 14. Clarify the meaning of the term "each area subject to the factor" in NRS 361.260 by adopting rules for conducting land factor studies. Because current law assigns no role to the Department in the land factor process, the Department also needs guidance from the Commission or Legislature to determine its specific duties.

- 15. NRS 361.333 (3) permits the department to use any statistical criteria that will indicate an accurate ratio of taxable value to assessed value and an accurate measure of equality in assessment. Does this latitude also apply to similar studies like land factoring?
- 16. We interpret the Supreme Court's direction that the "county assessors must use uniform standards and methodologies for assessing property values throughout the state." Under a strict application, anything not explicitly codified in NRS or NAC would be unconstitutional.
- 17. The regulations cannot cover every situation; therefore, we should have a reference to national assessment administration standards from the IAAO, much like we already have a reference to Marshall Swift for a cost manual. Those standards are as follows:
 - a.) Guide to Assessment Standards
 - b.) Standard on Administration of Monitoring and Compliance Responsibilities
 - c.) Standard on Assessment Appeal
 - d.) Standard on Automated Valuation Models
 - e.) Standard on Contracting for Assessment Services
 - f.) Standard on Facilities, Computers, Equipment and Supplies
 - g.) Standard on Manual Cadastral Maps
 - h.) Standard on Mass Appraisal
 - i.) Standard on Professional Development
 - j.) Standard on the Valuation of Properties Affected by Environmental Contamination
 - k.) Standard on Property Tax Policy
 - 1.) Standard on Public Relations
 - m.) Standard on Ratio Studies
 - n.) Standard on the Valuation of Personal Property
 - o.) Standard on Digital Cadastral Mapping

Of these, the most important should be Mass Appraisal, Personal Property, and Ratio Studies. In the alternative, reference could be made to certain textbooks, such as "Property Appraisal and Assessment Administration (IAAO) or the 12th Ed. of the Appraisal Foundation's "The Appraisal of Real Estate."

- 19. Clarify the roles of NTC and SBE under NRS 361.333 and NRS 361.395.
- 20. Promulgate regulations on the use of the income approach. There are none, except in centrally-assessed.
- 21. Promulgate regulations on possessory interests. Drafts were considered by the Commission but were sent back for additional workshops. That process has not been completed.
- 22. Promulgate regulations on the valuation of off-the-shelf computer software. Drafts were considered by the Commission but were sent back for additional workshops. That process has not been completed.

- 23. Promulgate a regulation referencing all the mapping directions in the Assessment Manual that was never adopted.
- 24. Promulgate enforcement regulations requiring compliance with reporting of sales to the Department in the form and manner required.
- 25. The State Board of Equalization should complete the regulatory process of reviewing county board regulations.
- 26. Promulgate standards for granting exemptions. For instance, does property owned by a hospital or other charity receive the exemption at the point when construction begins, or is mere ownership enough?

Exhibit 2 - List of Topics for Development of "Best Practices" Procedures

- 1. Land Valuation- detailed explanation of how values are determined
 - a.) Vacant
 - b.) Improved- what methods are used and a detailed explanation and example of how the appraisal is carried out.
- 2. NRS 361.4722 and 361.4723, Abatement of Property Tax
 SEE ATTACHED EXAMPLE OF A PROPOSED QUESTIONNAIRE FORMAT
 FOR TOPICS
- 3. Sales Validation
 - a.) Copy of questionnaire sent to buyers and sellers submitted to department
 - b.) What other methods used
 - c.) Are all verified or is a sample taken
 - d.) If sampling, how is the sample selected
 - e.) How often are sales verified
 - f.) How are verified sales recorded
- 4. New Construction
 - a.) When is new construction picked up
 - b.) How is new construction discovered
 - c.) How often are sites under construction visited and at what stages
 - d.) How are interior improvements and builder options captured?
 - e.) Is a final inspection done when completed
 - f.) Are site measurements, building dept. and/or developer provided plans used
- 5. Marshall and Swift
 - a.) What adjustments are being used (foundation, seismic, wind, resort etc.)
 - b.) How do single unit in a multi unit building valued
 - c.) Are quality classes consistent with M & S descriptions
- 6. Subdivisions
 - a.) How are subdivisions qualified
 - b.) What paperwork do is required and where is it stored
 - c.) What is required to maintain subdivision status
- 7. Water Rights
 - a.) Are Water Rights obviously noted in files?
 - b.) Is there a tracking of recent sales?
 - c.) If rights are removed, did it affect the zoning & land use?
- 8. Agricultural property
 - a.) How is property eligible for agricultural designation qualified?
 - b.) Are agricultural applications taken and where are they stored
 - c.) Are field inspections done at time of applications and re-appraisal to verify it qualifies
- 9. Personal Property
 - a.) How is it reported (a list by owner, form created by assessor, supporting docs)
 - b.) Is a sample for field inspection done to verify correctness of reports
 - c.) Process for non-reporters
 - d.) How are leases processed

10. Files and File Contents

- a.) File for every parcel?
- b.) Contents in file
- c.) How are files stored and organized
- d.) How develop and keep up parcel maps and how often

11. Manufactured Homes (MH)

- a.) What is process when MH converts to real property
- b.) What method is used for valuing
- c.) Quality class issues

12. Training

- a.) Process for training new employees
- b.) What type of continued training is done
- c.) Any cross training

EXAMPLE FORMAT Work Practices Evaluation Guide

Implementation of AB 489, SB 509

Topic List

Eligibility Claims

Claim Forms

Notification of Taxpayers Timeframe for acceptance

Classification of Property

Existing Property

New Property

Residential Property

Commercial or Other Property

Mixed Use Property

Rental Property

Computer Programming Formula Calculation

Appeals

Appeal Forms

Communication with Treasurer

Brochures on Appeal Rights

Application of Recapture

Determining tax rates exempt from abatement calculation

Redevelopment Districts

Annexation

Correction of Abatements

Application of multiple abatements

AB.489 imposes a system of calculating an abatement, or reduction, of tax liability. Two types of abatements were created, one for single family residences which are owner occupied and constitute the primary residence of the owner, as well as for certain qualifying rental properties. (NRS 361 4723)

The second type of abatement is applied to all other parcels or other taxable unit of property, including centrally-assessed property, with certain exceptions.

(NRS 361.4722)

A county assessor shall receive claims for primary residential abatements and residential rental abatements and identify each parcel . . for which such a claim is received.

Eligibility Claims

Refer to: LCB File No. R011-06, Sections 11 & 12.

NRS 361.4723 Claims	Yes	No	N/A or Other	Your Comments
Do you send eligibility claim forms to all home-owners annually?				
If you do not send claim forms to all home- owners annually, do you send eligibility claim forms to all new property owners?				
Does the claim form conform to the requirements of R011-06, Section 12?				Attach example.
When do you send claim forms?		444		
Do you have an audit program in place to verify the claims?				
How do you verify the home is owner- occupied? (a) check records; (b) rely on affidavit statement; (c) Other	(a)	(b)	(c)	
If you receive a claim after June 30, what procedures do you use?				
In applying NRS 361.773, what criteria do you use to determine "good cause"?				
Do you have brochures or information sheets available to taxpayers on how to claim the abatement?				
Do you have any automated reports on numbers of properties granted and/or denied eligibility?				
LCB File No. R011-06 Sec. 12 (2)(e) requires claimants to notify assessor if the property is no longer used as a SFR or is not the primary residence. Have you received any such notifications, and if so, approximately how many?				

Classification of Property

Refer to NRS 361.4722, NRS 361.4723

The owner of any parcel of other taxable unit of property :: is entitled to a partial abatement ... excluding any increase in the assessed valuation of the property. ... as a result of any improvement to or change in the actual or authorized use of the property.

New Property	Yes	No	N/A	Your Comments
•			or	
			Other	

Is the value of an improvement which did	
not exist the previous year separately	
identified or segregated such that no	
abatement calculation is applied?	
When a final parcel map indicates a parcel	
is split from a larger property, is the cut	
parcel designated as new property?	
When a zoning change request by the	
taxpayer is granted, is the property	
designated as new property?	
When an apartment complex is converted	
to condominiums, is the property	
designated as new property?	
Describe how the automated system is	
used to designate new property, or provide	
an example.	
When boundary changes occur, are	
parcels on both sides of the boundary	
designated as new property? If no, please	
describe your procedure.	
What other indicators do you use to	
determine whether a change in the actual	
or authorized use has occurred?	
Is the difference between last year's value	
and this year's value called "new" or is the	
total value "new"?	
Was a substantial economic benefit	
created with a boundary line adjustment	
and therefore a New property?	
With a new house is the land also new?	
Or does the new house exist because the	
land was existing?	
How are parcels which were designated	
new in the prior year designated for	
application of the abatement in the current	
year?	
For property escaping taxation, how do you	
determine whether the property was	
subject to abatement in each year for	
which the property escaped taxation?	

Residential Property	Yes No N/A	Your Comments
	or	
	Other	

Do you automatically grant the primary		T	
residence abatement to all buildings on a			
property which contains a SFR? If no, please describe the procedures you use to			
segregate property from the application of			
the primary residence abatement.			
Do you track home-business operations, to			
include a report of the numbers and types?	}		
Do you grant the primary residence			
abatement to property held in the name of			
a trust?			
Do you grant the primary residence abatement to property held in the name of			
an LLC, partnership, or other business		•	
entity? If yes, please provide examples.]
Describe any validation procedure you use		·	
to determine whether a claim for a primary			
residence has been made to any other	ľ		
county. Do you grant the primary residence			
abatement to multiple properties owned by			
the same family or family trust? Please		ļ	
explain.			

Refer to NRS 361.4724; LCB File No. R011-06, Section 14.

Rental Property	Yes	No	N/A or Other	Your Comments
Do you send eligibility claim forms to all multi-family residence properties annually?				
What discovery procedures are used to determine whether a claim form should be sent to the owner of a SFR?				
Do you require information regarding the nature and amount of utilities included in the rent? If yes, please describe.				
Do you calculate utility allowances in addition to the information provided by the Department?				
Is the procedure for determining whether the claimed rent exceeds HUD automated?			-	
Do you have an audit program in place to verify the claim? If yes, please describe.				
Do you grant the primary residence abatement for properties such as vacation homes? If so, describe the procedure for granting the abatement.				

Do you grant the 3% rental abatement to the entire property if less than all apartments meet the HUD income restrictions?				
			-	
Personal Property	Yes	No	N/A or Other	Your Comments
Do you have any personal property accounts for which a <u>general</u> abatement has been granted? If yes, please describe the type of properties.				
When mobile home property is converted from personal property to real property, has a change in authorized or actual use occurred?				
Mixed Use Property	Yes	No	N/A or Other	Your Comments
If a portion of a SFR is rented, do you apply the primary residence abatement to the entire structure? If no, describe how you allocate the structure.	Yes	No		Your Comments
If a portion of a SFR is rented, do you apply the primary residence abatement to the entire structure? If no, describe how	Yes	No	or	Your Comments
If a portion of a SFR is rented, do you apply the primary residence abatement to the entire structure? If no, describe how you allocate the structure. If a portion of a primary residence parcel is used for another purpose, do you apply the primary residence abatement to the entire parcel? If no, describe how you allocate	Yes	No	or	Your Comments

Remainder Property	Yes	No	N/A or Other	Your Comments
If a primary residence parcel is split, do you apply the 3% abatement to remainder parcels?				
Do you apply remainder based on percent of acres remaining or on percent of parcel value remaining?				